**2**008

Serial No. 10/542,105 Atty Dkt No. 28955.4030

## REMARKS

Claims 1-15 remain herein. Claims 1-15 have been amended. Support for the amendments may be found throughout the specification (see, e.g., compound (H3) at page 26 of the specification).

This Amendment is believed to place this application fully in condition for allowance, and surely in better condition for any appeal. Thus, entry of this Amendment and allowance of all claims 1-15 are respectfully requested.

1. Claims 1-6 and 8-15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) over Inoue et al. U.S. Patent 6,344,283.

Claims 1 and 8 recite an aromatic diamine derivative represented by general formula (1):

$$A-L-B$$
 (1)

wherein A represents a diarylamino group represented by:

B represents a diarylamino group represented by:

$$Ar^3$$
 $-N$ 
 $Ar^4$ 

Serial No. 10/542,105 Atty Dkt No. 28955.4030

and Arl to Ar4 each independently represents a substituted or unsubstituted aryl group having 5 to 50 nuclear atoms.

Because applicants' claimed formula (1) recites an aromatic <u>diamine</u> derivative, it can have only two nitrogen atoms in the main skeleton. Because each of A and B includes one of the nitrogen atoms, Ar<sup>1</sup> to Ar<sup>4</sup> may not be substituted with an amino or arylamino group.

Inoue does not disclose applicants' claimed formula (1). Inoue discloses the following formula V:

Inoue's Tables 1-78 show that at least one of R<sub>01</sub> to R<sub>04</sub> includes an arylamino group.

Thus, unlike applicants' claimed formula (1), the main skeleton of Inoue formula (V) includes more than two Nitrogen groups.

Thus, Inoue does not disclose all elements of applicants' claims and, therefore, it is not an adequate basis for a rejection under § 102(e). Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection.

Serial No. 10/542,105 Atty Dkt No. 28955.4030

2. Claim 7 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) over Nakaya et al. U.S. Patent 5,792,557. The Office Action states that applicants' claimed compound (H10) reads on Nakaya's formula (1) when r1 to r4 are 1, r5 and r6 are 0, R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>3</sub> are phenyl, and R<sub>2</sub> and R<sub>4</sub> are naphthyl.

Applicants' compound (H10) has the following structural formula:

Nakaya does not disclose compound (H10). Nakaya's formula (1) is the following:

$$(R_3)_{r3}$$
 $(R_4)_{r4}$ 
 $(R_5)_{r5}$ 
 $(R_6)_{r6}$ 
 $(R_1)_{r1}$ 
 $(R_2)_{r2}$ 

When Nakaya's R<sub>2</sub> and R<sub>4</sub> groups are naphthyl groups, the resulting groups linked to the nitrogen atom are not naphthyl groups as in applicants' claimed compound (H10) but phenyl groups substituted with naphthyl groups.

12/26/2007 18:10 FAX STEPTOE JOHNSON Ø 011

Serial No. 10/542,105 Atty Dkt No. 28955.4030

Thus, Nakaya does not disclose all elements of applicants' claims and, therefore, it is not

an adequate basis for a rejection under § 102(b). Applicants respectfully request reconsideration

and withdrawal of this rejection.

For all of the foregoing reasons, all claims 1-15 are now fully in condition for allowance,

which is respectfully requested. The PTO is hereby authorized to charge or credit any necessary

fees to Deposit Account No. 19-4293. Should the Examiner deem that any further amendments

would be desirable in placing this application in even better condition for issue, she is invited to

telephone applicant's undersigned representative.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 26, 2007

Roger W. Parkhurst

Reg. No. 25,177 Houda Morad

Registration No. 56,742

STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP 1330 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Tel: (202) 429-3000

Fax: (202) 429-3902

- 11 -